IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl. Misc. No. 32706-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION : 26.09.2006

Bal Ram Mangla

.... PETITIONER

Versus

State of Haryana

..... RESPONDENT

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Partap Singh, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Petitioner Bal Ram Mangal, apprehending his arrest in a non-bailable offence in case FIR No. 200 dated 6.4.2006 under Section 13 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, read with Sections 406, 409, 420, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Palwal, has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, for the grant of anticipatory bail.

I have heard counsel for the parties and have gone through the contents of the FIR as well as the order dated 16.5.2006, passed by Additional Sessions Judge (I), Faridabad, whereby bail application of the petitioner has been dismissed.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who is working as Accountant in the Municipal Council, Palwal, has been falsely implicated in this case. The allegation against him is that he has not deposited the amount of contribution towards Provident Fund in the account of the employees. Counsel contends that whenever the grant in this regard was received by the petitioner, the same was immediately deposited. Counsel further states that in terms of the order dated July 31, 2006, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation. This fact has not been disputed by the State counsel and he further states that the petitioner is

no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above and for the reasons recorded in the interim order dated May 29, 2006, without commenting on the merits, the interim bail, granted vide the aforesaid interim order, is made absolute subject to the same terms and conditions.

This bail order shall remain in operation till the investigation culminates into filing of challan under section 173 Cr.P.C. except for material change in the circumstances. Thereafter the petitioner shall be entitled to the grant of regular bail by the trial court and the same shall further continue till conclusion of the trial on the conditions to be imposed by the court of competent jurisdiction.

Disposed of accordingly.

September 26, 2006 **(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)**
ndj **JUDGE**